

E-filed: 12/4/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR
MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC.,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
U.S.A.,

Defendants.

No. C-05-00334 RMW

ORDER MEMORIALIZING WILLFULNESS
PROCEDURES

[Re Docket Nos. 2674, 2677]

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

Defendants.

No. C-05-02298 RMW

[Re Docket Nos. 1431, 1432]

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., and
MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS,
INC.

Defendants.

No. C-06-00244 RMW

[Re Docket Nos. 1660, 1661]

The parties have been unable to reach agreement regarding procedures for making pretrial submissions related to the issue of willfulness. The court has considered the arguments presented in the parties' letter briefs and during a phone conference at 3:00 p.m. on December 4, 2008.¹ The following memorializes the court's rulings during the phone conference.

- The parties' pretrial submissions with respect to willfulness will not be due before the beginning of the trial on infringement and validity. Such submissions will be due at a future time that will enable the court to try the willfulness issue within ten (10) days of the end of the damages portions of the trial. The Manufacturers represented that their positions on willfulness are set forth in their pending motions for summary judgment.
- To minimize the burden on witnesses, the parties may elect to examine with respect to willfulness a witness not under the control of an adverse party who the party calls during the liability phase of trial. Such testimony will be taken in the afternoon, outside the presence of the jury.
- Parties may request to examine an opposing party's trial witness with respect to willfulness. The opposing party may postpone that witness' willfulness testimony on the condition that they produce the witness again during the willfulness phase of the case.
- Patent Local Rule 3-8 (Jan. 1, 2001) imposes a deadline for disclosing reliance on

¹ Each party was represented by counsel. The phone conference was not reported.

1 opinion of counsel. This deadline has passed. Postponing the willfulness phase of
2 trial does not change the impact of Rule 3-8, and the Manufacturers may not disclose
3 any new opinion of counsel defense to willfulness.
4

5 The court also discussed with the parties various ways to administer these cases more
6 efficiently. The court will welcome any reports from any parties listing the outstanding motions in
7 this case, the status of such motions, and any comment on how ruling on such motions will impact
8 the upcoming trial. The court also expects the parties to meet and confer prior to December 10 with
9 respect to representative parts. Any issues with respect to representative parts will be raised at the
10 December 10 hearing.

11
12 DATED: 12/4/2008



13 RONALD M. WHYTE
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Notice of this document has been electronically sent to counsel in:

C-05-00334, C-05-02298, C-06-00244.

Counsel	Email	Appearances:		
		05-00334	05-02298	06-00244
Elpida:				
Eric R. Lamison	elamison@kirkland.com	x		
Hynix:				
Theodore G. Brown , III	tgbrown@townsend.com	x	x	x
Karin Morgan Cogbill	kfrenza@thelen.com , pawilson@thelen.com	x		x
Daniel J. Furniss	djfurniss@townsend.com	x		
Joseph A. Greco	jagreco@townsend.com	x		
Julie Jinsook Han	JJHan@townsend.com	x	x	x
Tomomi Katherine Harkey	tharkey@omm.com	x		
Jordan Trent Jones	jtjones@townsend.com	x		
Patrick Lynch	plynch@omm.com	x		
Kenneth Lee Nissly	kennissly@omm.com	x		x
Kenneth Ryan O'Rourke	korourke@omm.com	x		
Belinda Martinez Vega	bvega@omm.com	x	x	x
Geoffrey Hurdall Yost	gyost@thelenreid.com	x	x	x
Susan Gregory van Keulen	svankeulen@omm.com	x		x
Interdigital:				
Nathan Loy Walker	nathan.walker@wilmerhale	x		
Micron:				
Robert Jason Becher	robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com	x		x
John D Beynon	john.beynon@weil.com	x	x	x
Jared Bobrow	jared.bobrow@weil.com	x	x	x
Yonaton M Rosenzweig	yonirosenzweig@quinnemanuel.com	x		x
Harold Avrum Barza	halbarza@quinnemanuel.com			x
Linda Jane Brewer	lindabrewer@quinnemanuel.com			x
Aaron Bennett Craig	aaroncraig@quinnemanuel.com			x
Leeron Kalay	kalay@fr.com			x
David J. Lender	david.lender@weil.com			x
Rachael Lynn Ballard McCracken	rachaelmccracken@quinnemanuel.com			x
Sven Raz	sven.raz@weil.com			x
David J. Ruderman	davidruderman@quinnemanuel.com			x
Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser	elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com			x
Nanya:				
Jason Sheffield Angell	jangell@orrick.com	x	x	x
Kristin Sarah Cornuelle	kcornuelle@orrick.com	x	x	x
Chester Wren-Ming Day	cday@orrick.com	x		
Jan Ellen Ellard	jellard@orrick.com	x		x
Vickie L. Feeman	vfeeman@orrick.com	x	x	x
Robert E. Freitas	rfreitas@orrick.com	x		
Craig R. Kaufman	hlee@orrick.com	x		
Hao Li	hli@orrick.com	x		

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1	Cathy Yunshan Lui	clui@orrick.com	x		
	Theresa E. Norton	tnorton@orrick.com	x		
2	Mark Shean	mshean@orrick.com	x		
	Kaiwen Tseng	ktseng@orrick.com	x		
3	Rambus:				
4	Kathryn Kalb Anderson	Kate.Anderson@mto.com	x		x
	Peter A. Detre	detrepa@mto.com	x	x	x
5	Erin C. Dougherty	erin.dougherty@mto.com	x	x	x
	Sean Eskovitz	sean.eskovitz@mto.com	x	x	x
6	Burton Alexander Gross	Burton.Gross@mto.com	x	x	x
	Keith Rhoderic Dhu Hamilton, II	keith.hamilton@mto.com	x	x	x
7	Pierre J. Hubert	phubert@mckoolsmith.com	x	x	x
	Andrea Jill Weiss Jeffries	Andrea.Jeffries@mto.com	x	x	x
8	Miriam Kim	Miriam.Kim@mto.com	x	x	x
	Carolyn Hoecker Luedtke	carolyn.luedtke@mto.com	x	x	x
9	Steven McCall Perry	steven.perry@mto.com	x	x	x
10	Jennifer Lynn Polse	jen.polse@mto.com	x	x	x
	Matthew Thomas Powers	mpowers@sidley.com	x		
11	Rollin Andrew Ransom	rransom@sidley.com	x	x	x
	Rosemarie Theresa Ring	rose.ring@mto.com	x	x	x
12	Gregory P. Stone	gregory.stone@mto.com	x	x	x
	Craig N. Tolliver	ctolliver@mckoolsmith.com	x	x	x
13	Donald Ward	Bill.Ward@mto.com	x	x	x
	David C. Yang	david.yang@mto.com	x	x	x
14	Douglas A. Cawley	dcawley@mckoolsmith.com			x
	Scott L Cole	scole@mckoolsmith.com			x
15	Samsung:				
16	Steven S. Cherensky	steven.cherensky@weil.com	x	x	
	Claire Elise Goldstein	claire.goldstein@weil.com	x	x	
17	Dana Prescott Kenned Powers	dana.powers@weil.com	x	x	x
18	Matthew Douglas Powers	matthew.powers@weil.com , matthew.antonelli@weil.com	x	x	
19	Edward Robert Reines	Edward.Reines@weil.com	x	x	
20	Texas Instruments:				
	Kelli A. Crouch	kcrouch@jonesday.com	x	x	x

Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program in each action.

Dated: 12/4/2008

TSF
Chambers of Judge Whyte